A VARIATION PRINCIPLE FOR GROUND SPACES

STEPHAN WEIS

Abstract. We prove that the ground space projections of a subspace of energy operators in a matrix *-algebra are the greatest projections of the algebra under certain operator cone constraints. The lattice of ground space projections being coatomistic, we also discuss its maximal elements as building blocks. We demonstrate the results with (commutative) two-local three-bit Hamiltonians. We expect the variation principle to be useful also for non-commutative Hamiltonians.

arXiv:1704.07675v1 [math-ph] 25 Apr 2017

1. Introduction

Our motivation to study lattices of ground spaces is at least two-fold. First, there is the notoriously difficult problem to find the ground state energy of a local Hamiltonian, called the *local Hamiltonian problem* [45]. A *k-local Hamiltonian* is a hermitian matrix which is a sum of local terms, each acting on a subsystem of at most k units. We write $A_{(k)}$ for the vector space of k-local Hamiltonians. They play a significant role in quantum many-body physics in lattice models with a geometry where faraway interactions can be neglected. As numerical methods are doomed to fail, geometric approaches to the k-local Hamiltonian problem have a long tradition [14, 17, 29, 24, 11, 13]. It is folklore and easy to see that the ground state energy of a k-local Hamiltonian is the minimal value of a linear program on the convex set $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$ of *k-party marginals*. The dimension of $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$ is polynomial in system size (number of units), compared to the exponential size of the naive optimization problem. But no numerical advantage can be drawn from optimizing over $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$ because the *quantum marginal problem*, which is to decide whether a tuple of k-party states belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$, is hard on a quantum computer [45].

The main attention has been payed to the lattice of exposed faces of $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$, which is isomorphic to the lattice of ground spaces of $A_{(k)}$. The lattice of normal cones of $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$, also isomorphic to the lattice of exposed face, has been studied much less. Perhaps, one exception is Erdahl [17] who studied the convex dual of $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$, whose exposed faces represent the normal cones of $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$ in terms of positive hulls. We observed earlier [38, 39, 40, 42] that the exposed faces of $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$, and the ground spaces of $A_{(k)}$, form coatomistic lattices (each element is the infimum of maximal elements). The present article characterizes ground space projections of $A_{(k)}$ in a variation principle.

A second motivation to study lattices of ground spaces is the analysis of many-party correlation quantities [3, 22, 5, 46, 4, 32, 27, 44, 34] defined through a maximum-entropy inference map. An examples is the *mutual information* (respectively, *multi-information*) [5], corresponding to $k = 1$, which quantifies the total correlation

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51D25, 52B05, 47L07, 81P16, 47A12, 62F30, 62H20, 94A17.

Key words and phrases. ground space, variation principle, coatom, exposed face, normal cone, state space, joint numerical range, maximum-entropy principle, many-party correlation, discontinuity.

of a system composed of two (respectively, many) units. The analogue notion of *irreducible correlation* [22, 46] for $k \geqslant 2$ quantifies correlations which cannot be described within subsystems of at most k units. Both, the inference maps and correlation quantities are discontinuous in the quantum case [43, 44] for three qubits and $k = 2$. In fact, the two continuity problems are equivalent [41, 34], and they are intriguingly related to the problem whether the lattice of ground spaces of $A_{(k)}$ is closed in the norm topology, see Proposition 7.26 of [37] and see Section 8 of [34].

We demonstrate the variation principle with the two-local three-bit Hamiltonians $A_{(2)}$. The lattice of ground space projections $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ is a subset of the power set of the configuration space $X = \{0,1\} \times \{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$. We obtain a clear representation of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ from the complete bi-partite graph $K_{4,4}$. Among others, we show that $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ contains all subsets $S \subset X$ with at most three elements, $1 \leqslant |S| \leqslant 3$, thereby reproducing a result from [21]. A goal will be to compute the three-qubit lattice $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ and its closure. Useful methods for this aim, apart from our variation principle, may be the results about frustration-free Hamiltonians [20, 15] and diagonalization methods for three-qubit Hamiltonians.

The article starts in Section 2 with a variation principle for a convex set C : The pre-images of exposed faces of a projection of C are the greatest exposed faces of C under normal cone constraints. Section 3 introduces the lattice of ground space projections of a subspace of hermitian matrices which is isomorphic to the lattice of exposed faces of a projected state space of a matrix *-algebra. Section 4 shifts the variation principle from exposed faces to ground space projections. Section 5 recalls basic properties of k-local Hamiltonians and reduced density matrices. Section 6 discusses the 3-bit example.

2. Variation formula for exposed faces

We show that the pre-images of exposed faces of a projection of a convex set are the greatest exposed faces under a normal cone constraint. We derive this geometric variation formula from lattice isomorphisms between exposed faces and normal cones of the projected set.

As a standard notation for the article, A denotes a finite-dimensional Euclidean vector space, $C \subset A$ a convex subset, $U \subset A$ a linear subspace, and

$$\pi : A \to A \tag{2.1}$$

is the orthogonal projection onto U. We characterize those exposed faces of C which are lifts of exposed faces of $\pi(C)$. The characterization is formulated in terms of normal cones. Later, U will be replaced with the space of k-local Hamiltonians $A_{(k)}$.

An *exposed face* of C is defined to be either the empty set or any subset of C of the form

$$F_C(u) := \operatorname{argmin}\{\langle x, u\rangle \mid x \in C\}, \qquad u \in A. \tag{2.2}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}(C)$ the set of exposed faces of C. Points $x \in C$ whose singleton set $\{x\}$ is an exposed face are called *exposed points*.

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_2$ be a map between two partially ordered sets $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$. The map φ is *isotone* if $x \leqslant y \implies \varphi(x) \leqslant \varphi(y)$ holds and φ is *antitone* if $x \leqslant y \implies \varphi(x) \geqslant \varphi(y)$ holds. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a *lattice*, that is a partially ordered set where the infimum and supremum of each pair of elements exists. The lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is *complete* if the infimum and supremum of an arbitrary subset of $\mathcal{L}$ exist. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice with smallest

element 0 and greatest element 1. An *atom* of $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as a minimal element of $\mathcal{L}\backslash\{0\}$. The lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is *atomistic* if each of its elements $\neq 0$ is the supremum of the atoms which it contains. A *coatom* of $\mathcal{L}$ is defined to be a maximal element of $\mathcal{L}\backslash\{1\}$. The lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is *coatomistic* if each of its elements $\neq 1$ is the infimum of coatoms in which it is contained.

Partially ordered by inclusion, the set of exposed faces $\mathcal{E}(C)$ forms a complete lattice where the infimum is the intersection [6, 23, 39]. We denote the smallest exposed face of C containing $X \subset C$ by

$$\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(C)}(X) = \bigcap\{F \in \mathcal{E}(C) \mid X \subset F\}.$$

The set-valued map $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(C)} : 2^C \to \mathcal{E}(C)$ is a closure operation [40] in the sense of order theory [1, 9] where 2^C is the power set of C, consisting of all subsets of C.

The set-valued map induced by the projection $\pi : A \to A$, defined in (2.1), will be denoted by $\pi : 2^A \to 2^A$, too. Consider the pre-images of exposed faces of $\pi(C)$,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{C,U} = \{\pi|_C^{-1}(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{E}(\pi(C))\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{E}(C)$ holds. The set of exposed faces $\mathcal{L}$ is, partially ordered by inclusion, a complete lattice with infimum the intersection. The projection

$$\pi|_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{E}(\pi(C)) \tag{2.4}$$

is an isotone lattice isomorphism, see Proposition 5.6 of [39]. We denote the smallest element of $\mathcal{L}$ containing $X \subset C$ by

$$\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \bigcap\{F \in \mathcal{L} \mid X \subset F\}.$$

Since π and L are isotone and since $\pi|_C^{-1}(\pi(F)) = F$ holds for all $F \in \mathcal{L}$, the isomorphism (2.4) implies

$$\pi(\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))}(\pi(X)), \qquad X \subset C. \tag{2.5}$$

Just as the inclusion $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \supset \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(C)}(X)$ may be proper for some $X \subset C$, the supremum of a subset of $\mathcal{L}$ in the ordering of $\mathcal{L}$ may be larger than in the ordering of $\mathcal{E}(C)$. Examples exist for all compact C where $\dim(\pi(C)) < \dim(C)$. We leave the ordering unspecified unless confusion is likely to arise.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $X \subset C$ be a subset. Then $X \in \mathcal{L}$ holds if and only if X is the greatest element of $\{G \in \mathcal{E}(C) \mid \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)\}$ in the ordering of the lattice $\mathcal{E}(C)$.*

Proof: Let $X \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) = X$ and for any $G \in \mathcal{E}(C)$ with $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ we have

$$G \subset \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) = X,$$

so X is indeed the greatest element. Conversely, let X be the greatest element of all $G \in \mathcal{E}(C)$ for which $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ holds. Inserting $G = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ shows $X \supset \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$. Then $X = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ follows, which finishes the proof. ▫

Let us reformulate the condition $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ of Lemma 2.1 in terms of normal cones. A vector $u \in A$ is a *normal vector* (inward pointing) of C at $x \in C$ if $\langle y - x, u\rangle \geqslant 0$ holds for all $y \in C$, which means that u has no obtuse angle with the vector from x to any point $y \in C$. The *normal cone* $N_C(x)$ of C at x is defined to be the set of all normal vectors of C at x. The *normal cone* $N_C(X)$ of C at a non-empty subset $X \subset C$ is defined to be the normal cone $N_C(x)$ of any relative

interior point[1] $x \in X$ (these points have the same normal cones [35]). The normal cone of C at $\varnothing$ is defined to be $N_C(\varnothing) = A$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}(C) := \{N_C(X) \mid X \subset C \text{ is convex}\}$$

denote the *lattice of normal cones* of C.

One can show that $\mathcal{N}(C)$ is, partially ordered by inclusion, a complete lattice where the infimum is the intersection [39]. Passing to the closure in the lattice of exposed faces does not diminish normal cones,

$$N_C(X) = N_C(\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(C)}(X)), \qquad X \subset C \text{ is convex.} \tag{2.6}$$

The equation (2.6) is proved in Lemma 4.6 of [39] for faces X of C, but is true as stated here[2]. If C is not a singleton, then Proposition 4.7 of [39] shows that

$$N_C : \mathcal{E}(C) \to \mathcal{N}(C), \quad F \mapsto N_C(F), \tag{2.7}$$

is an antitone lattice isomorphism. We interpret the projection

We interpret the projection $\pi(C)$ of C to U, defined in (2.1), as a subset of U. Hence $N_{\pi(C)}(X) \subset U$ holds for all convex subsets $X \subset \pi(C)$. In particular, $N_{\pi(C)}(\varnothing) = U$ holds. For any $x \in \pi(C)$ and $y \in L(\{x\})$, the normal cone of $\pi(C)$ at x is $N_{\pi(C)}(x) = N_C(y) \cap U$, see Lemma 5.9 of [39]. The analogue

$$N_{\pi(C)}(\pi(X)) = N_C(X) \cap U, \qquad X \subset C \text{ is convex,} \tag{2.8}$$

holds because relative interior points of X map under π to the relative interior of $\pi(X)$, see for example [33].

We arrive at a characterization of the lattice $\mathcal{L}$ within the lattice $\mathcal{E}(C)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that $\pi(C)$ is not a singleton and let $X \subset C$ be a convex subset. Then $X \in \mathcal{L}$ holds if and only if X is the greatest element of*

$$\{G \in \mathcal{E}(C) \mid N_C(G) \cap U = N_C(X) \cap U\}$$

in the ordering of the lattice of exposed faces $\mathcal{E}(C)$.

Proof: The proof uses Lemma 2.1 and two lattice isomorphisms (2.4) and (2.7),

$$\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{E}(\pi(C)) \cong \mathcal{N}(\pi(C)).$$

Let $X, G \subset C$ be convex and consider the condition of Lemma 2.1,

$$\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(X). \tag{2.9}$$

For all subsets $Y \subset C$ we have $\pi(\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{L}}(Y)) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))}(\pi(Y))$ by (2.5). Hence, the isomorphism (2.4) shows that (2.9) is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))}(\pi(G)) = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))}(\pi(X)).$$

For convex $Y \subset C$ we have $N_C(\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{E}(C)}(Y)) = N_C(Y)$ by (2.6). This equation, applied to $\pi(X), \pi(G) \subset \pi(C)$ instead of $Y \subset C$, and the isomorphism (2.7) show that condition (2.9) is equivalent to

$$N_{\pi(C)}(\pi(G)) = N_{\pi(C)}(\pi(X)).$$

Now (2.8) finishes the proof. □

[1]The *relative interior* of $X \subset A$ is the interior of X in the topology of the affine hull of X.

[2]Lemma 4.4 of [39] and the last statement of Lemma 4.6 of [39] are formulated for *faces*, but the proofs are straight forward to generalize from faces to arbitrary convex subsets.

3. Geometric representation of the lattice of ground spaces

We discuss the lattice of ground spaces of a linear space of hermitian matrices and its isomorphic lattices of exposed faces and normal cones of a linear image of the state space of a matrix *-algebra.

It is well-known that both quantum mechanics and classical statistics can be cast as special cases of more general statistical theories [19]. We confine ourselves to consider a *-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of the algebra M_n of complex n-by-n matrices, acting on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^n$. We assume that the n-by-n identity matrix $\mathbb{1}$ lies in $\mathcal{A}$. We refer to $\mathcal{A} = M_n$ as *quantum case* and to the algebra of diagonal matrices $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ as *classical case*. The fundamental events underlying the statistical theory of $\mathcal{A}$ form the lattice of projections of the algebra [26, 36]. In the quantum case, we identify a projection with its image, a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$. In the classical case a projection will be viewed as a subset of a *configuration space* X, which can be any set with n elements.

The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is partially ordered by $a \preceq b$, equivalently denoted as $b \succeq a$, which by definition means that $b - a$ is positive semi-definite, $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Partially ordered by $\preceq$, we consider the set of *projections* or *projection operators* of $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) := \{p \in \mathcal{A} \mid p = p^* = p^2\}.$$

It is well-known [2] that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is a complete lattice and that

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \to \{\text{image}(p) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})\}, \qquad p \mapsto \text{image}(p) \subset \mathbb{C}^n, \tag{3.1}$$

is a lattice isomorphism. Thereby, $p \preceq q$ holds for $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if $\text{image}(p) \subset \text{image}(q)$, and the infimum of $\text{image}(p)$ and $\text{image}(q)$ is the intersection $\text{image}(p) \cap \text{image}(q)$, see Theorem 2.104 on page 112 of [2].

In the classical case of diagonal matrices $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^X = \{f : X \to \mathbb{C}\}$, the lattice of projections $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) = \{0,1\}^X = \{f : X \to \{0,1\}\}$ is isomorphic to the power set 2^X, which is a Boolean algebra [1, 9, 26, 36]. The ordering on 2^X is inclusion, the infimum of $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is the intersection $p \wedge q = p \cap q$, and the supremum is the union $p \vee q = p \cup q$.

In quantum mechanics, a hermitian matrix has the physical interpretation of energy operator (*Hamiltonian*) of a quantum system whose eigenvalues are the possible energy values [8]. We denote the real vector space of hermitian matrices by

$$A := \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid a^* = a\}.$$

We call the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_0(a)$ of $a \in A$ *ground state energy* and the projection $p_0(a) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ onto the corresponding eigenspace *ground space projection* of a.

- In the quantum case of $\mathcal{A} = M_n$ we call the eigenspace of $a \in A$ corresponding to $\lambda_0(a)$ the *ground space* of a. In other words, the ground space of a is the image $\text{image}(p_0(a)) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of the ground space projection $p_0(a) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$.
- In the classical case of $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^X$ (diagonal matrices) the space of hermitian matrices is $A = \mathbb{R}^X = \{f : X \to \mathbb{R}\}$. We call $\text{argmin}\{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ the *ground space* of a. In other words, the ground space of a is the subset of the configuration space X representing the $p_0(a)$ in the lattice of projections $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) = \{0,1\}^X$.

Geometrically, we will consider the space of hermitian matrices A as a Euclidean space. The scalar product is the restriction of the *Hilbert-Schmidt* inner product on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, which is defined by $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{tr}(a^* b), a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

One of the main ideas of this work is to identify ground space projections and exposed faces of the state space of $\mathcal{A}$. A *state* on $\mathcal{A}$ is a normalized positive linear functional $\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$. A *density matrix* in $\mathcal{A}$ is a positive semi-definite matrix of trace one. It is well-known that states on $\mathcal{A}$ and density matrices in $\mathcal{A}$ are in a one-to-one correspondence, see for example Theorem 2.4.21 on page 76 of [10]. The functional corresponding to a density matrix $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$ is $f_\rho(a) = \langle \rho, a \rangle$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We refer to density matrices simultaneously as states and we define the *state space* [2] of $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$C = C_{\mathcal{A}} = \{\rho \in \mathcal{A} \mid \rho \geq 0, \mathrm{tr}(\rho) = 1\}. \tag{3.2}$$

The *support projection*[3] of $\rho \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the projection $\mathrm{supp}(\rho) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ onto $\mathrm{image}(\rho)$. The extreme points of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ are called *pure states*. They are in one-to-one correspondence with rank-one projections in $\mathcal{A}$.

- In the quantum case of $\mathcal{A} = M_n$ we refer to any unit vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ as a *pure state* because $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a pure state (in Dirac's notation [28]).
- In the classical case of diagonal matrices $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^X$, the state space of $\mathcal{A}$ is the simplex

$$\Delta_X := C_{\mathcal{A}} = \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \geqslant 0, x \in X \text{ and } \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = 1\} \tag{3.3}$$

  of probability distributions on X, to which we refer as *probability simplex*.

We call $\rho \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ a *ground state* of a hermitian matrix a if $\mathrm{supp}(\rho) \preceq p_0(a)$. In the quantum case, unit vectors of the image of the ground space projection $p_0(a)$, and in the classical case, elements of $p_0(a) \subset X$ are also called *ground states*. Notice that, unless $\mathcal{A}$ is a simple algebra (that is $\mathcal{A} = M_n$), unit vectors of subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$ may not represent pure states of $\mathcal{A}$.

The convex geometry of state spaces of C*-algebras was studied intensively [2], the lattice of projections $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the lattice of exposed faces of the state space C,

$$\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{E}(C), \quad p \mapsto \{\rho \in C \mid \mathrm{supp}(\rho) \preceq p\}. \tag{3.4}$$

With notation from (2.2) we have

$$F_C = \phi_{\mathcal{A}} \circ p_0. \tag{3.5}$$

For example, $\phi(p) = F_C(-p)$ holds for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. See also Section 2.3 of [38].

We study projected state spaces. Let $U \subset A$ be a linear subspace of hermitian matrices, and as in the preceding section let $\pi : A \to A$ denote the orthogonal projection onto U. We define the *lattice of ground space projections* of U by

$$\mathcal{P}(U) := \{p_0(u) \mid u \in U\} \cup \{0\}. \tag{3.6}$$

It can be shown that $\mathcal{P}(U)$ is a complete lattice, with the restricted ordering of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. The identity $\phi \circ p_0 = F_C$ of (3.5) shows that the isomorphism (3.4) restricts to an isotone lattice isomorphism

$$\phi|_{\mathcal{P}(U)} : \mathcal{P}(U) \to \mathcal{L} \tag{3.7}$$

[3]For a general matrix, not necessarily normal, the projection onto the image is the *range projection*, and the *support projection* is the projection onto the orthogonal complement of the kernel [2]. For normal matrices, the notions of range and support projection are equivalent. We prefer the term *support projection* for states because it is morphologically (linguistics) similar to the *support* of a probability distribution.



FIGURE 1. The picture shows the convex hull of the unit disk and of the point $(2, 0)$. The lattice of exposed faces is *coatomistic*. It is not *atomistic* as each boundary segment contains the single atom $\{(2, 0)\}$ and therefore cannot be a supremum of atoms.

to the lattice $\mathcal{L}$ of pre-images of exposed faces of $\pi(C)$, introduced in equation (2.3). A detailed discussion of the lattice $\mathcal{P}(U)$ can be found in Section 3.1 of [38]. We point out that, through the isomorphism (2.4), the lattice $\mathcal{P}(U)$ is isomorphic to the lattice of exposed faces $\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))$ of $\pi(C)$.

The lattice of exposed faces $\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))$ is coatomistic, see Section 6 of [42]. But unlike the lattice of exposed faces of the state space C, the lattice $\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))$ may not be atomistic. The convex set in Figure 1 is, up to an invertible linear transformation, equal to the projection of $\mathcal{M}_3$ onto the plane spanned by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} & 0 \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, see for example [38]. The figure explains that the exposed faces of that convex set do not form an atomistic lattice.

In the classical case of $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^X$, the projected state space $\pi(C)$ is a polytope since $C = \Delta_X$ is a simplex. It is well-known that the lattice of exposed faces of a polytope is both atomistic and coatomistic. We also recall that by definition, a *facet* of a polytope C is an exposed face of codimension one in C. The atoms of the lattice of exposed faces of a polytope are the exposed points, the coatoms are the facets. See for example Theorem 2.7 of [47] for a proof of these statements[4].

Remark 3.1 (Linear images of state space)**.** The convex set $\pi(C_{\mathcal{A}})$ is affinely isomorphic to convex sets studied in various fields: To the *algebraic polar* of a spectrahedron [31], to the *joint algebraic numerical range* known in operator theory [25], to the *state space* [42] of an operator system [30], and to the *convex support* [38], a term which we borrowed from statistics [7]. We prefer to work in this article directly with the projection $\pi(C)$ as it simplifies the use of marginals.

4. Variation formula for ground spaces

The variation principle of Theorem 2.2, applied to the state space of a matrix *-algebra, characterizes projections which are ground space projections of a subspace $U \subset A$ of hermitian matrices. We further study maximal ground spaces.

The lattice of ground space projections $\mathcal{P}(U)$, defined in (3.6), can be characterized in terms of normal cones. We define a map from the lattice of projection operators $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ of the matrix *-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset M_n$ to the lattice of normal cones of a linear image of the state space C of $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\nu : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{N}(\pi(C)), \qquad p \mapsto N_C(\phi(p)) \cap U. \tag{4.1}$$

[4]Ziegler calls *(co-) atomic* what we call (co-) atomistic.

Notation is from Sections 2 and 3. In particular, $\pi : A \to A$ is the orthogonal projection onto U, and the map $\phi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{E}(C)$ is the lattice isomorphism (3.4) from $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ to the lattice of exposed faces of C. Then (2.8) shows $\nu = N_{\pi(C)} \circ \pi \circ \phi$, that is $\nu(p) = N_{\pi(C)}(F)$ is the normal cone of $\pi(C)$ at the convex subset $F = \pi(\phi(p))$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $U \subset A$ be a linear subspace such that $\pi(C)$ is no singleton, and let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ holds if and only if p is the greatest element of $\{q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \mid \nu(q) = \nu(p)\}$ in the ordering of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof: Theorem 2.2 shows that if $F \in \mathcal{E}(C)$, then $F \in \mathcal{L}$ holds if and only if F is the greatest element of

$$\{G \in \mathcal{E}(C) \mid N_C(G) \cap U = N_C(F) \cap U\}.$$

The lattice isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{E}(C)$ from (3.4) and its restriction, the lattice isomorphism $\phi|_{\mathcal{P}(U)} : \mathcal{P}(U) \to \mathcal{L}$ from (3.7), together with the definition (4.1) of $\nu : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{N}(\pi(C))$ prove that $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ holds if and only if p is the greatest element of $\{q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \mid \nu(q) = \nu(p)\}$. □

Let A^+ denote the cone of positive semi-definite matrices in $\mathcal{A}$. For any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ we denote by $p' := \mathbb{1} - p$ the *complementary projection* of p. We define the pointed convex cone[5]

$$K(p) = K_U(p) := p'A^+p' \cap U = \{u \in U \mid p_0(u) \geq p, u \geq 0\}, \qquad p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $U \subset A$ be a linear subspace, and let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. Then we have*

$$\nu(p) = (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + p'A^+p') \cap U = \{u \in U \mid p_0(u) \geq p\},$$

so $K(p) = \{u \in \nu(p) \mid u \geq 0\}$ holds. If $\mathbb{1} \in U$, then we have $\nu(p) = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + K(p)$. If $\mathbb{1} \in U$ and $p \neq 0$, then the sum $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + K(p)$ is direct.

Proof: Proposition 2.11 of [38] shows that the normal cone of the state space C at $\rho \in C$ is the set of hermitian matrices who have ρ as a ground state,

$$N_C(\rho) = \{u \in A \mid s(\rho) \preceq p_0(u)\} = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + s(\rho)'A^+s(\rho)'.$$

Proposition 2.9 of [38] shows that for $u \in A$ the relative interior points of $F_C(u)$ have support projection $p_0(u)$. Therefore we have

$$N_C(F_C(u)) = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + p_0(u)'A^+p_0(u)'.$$

Since $p_0 : A \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is onto $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})\backslash\{0\}$, the equation $\phi \circ p_0 = F_C$ from (3.5) proves

$$\nu(p) = N_C(\phi(p)) \cap U = (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + p'A^+p') \cap U, \qquad p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})\backslash\{0\}.$$

By definition, this equation holds also for $p = 0$. The remaining assertions follow immediately. □

The assumption $\mathbb{1} \in U$ is needed in the second part of Lemma 4.2. This can be seen in the algebra $\mathcal{A} = M_2$ for U the span of two Pauli matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $K(p) = \{0\}$ holds for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. We summarize.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $U \subset A$ be a linear subspace with $\mathbb{1} \in U$, and let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ holds if and only if p is the greatest element of $\{q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \mid K(q) = K(p)\}$ in the ordering of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$.*

[5]By definition, a *convex cone* is a convex subset $K \neq \varnothing$ of a real vector space such that $\alpha x \in K$ for all $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and $x \in K$. A convex cone K is *pointed* if $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$.

Proof: We distinguish two cases. First, if $\pi(C)$ is a singleton, then $U = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}$. Indeed, if $U \neq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}$, then there is $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ with $p \neq 0, \mathbb{1}$ and then $\pi(p/\operatorname{tr}(p)) \neq \pi(p'/\operatorname{tr}(p'))$ shows that $\pi(C)$ is no singleton. So $K(0) = \{\lambda\mathbb{1} \mid \lambda \geqslant 0\}$ is a ray while $K(p) = \{0\}$ holds for all non-zero $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. The claim follows trivially.

Second, let $\pi(C)$ be no singleton. Theorem 4.1 shows that $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ holds if and only if p is the greatest element of all $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\nu(q) = \nu(p)$. This condition is by Lemma 4.2 equivalent to $K(q) = K(p)$ because K and ν can be computed from each other. □

It may be simpler to work first with a linear space $L(p) := p'Ap' \cap U$, and study positivity later. In the classical case we have

$$L(p) = (pAp + U^\perp)^\perp, \qquad \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^X. \tag{4.2}$$

For a general, maybe non-commutative algebra, $K(p)$ is determined by $L(p)$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ and $u \in L(p)$. Then $u \in K(p) \iff u \succeq 0$.*

Proof: Trivial. □

It is worth dwelling on coatoms of the lattice $\mathcal{P}(U)$ because it is coatomistic, see Section 6 of [42].

Theorem 4.5. *Let $U \subset A$ be a linear subspace with $\mathbb{1} \in U$ such that $\pi(C)$ is no singleton. Then $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ is a coatom of $\mathcal{P}(U)$ if and only if $K(p)$ is a ray.*

Proof: The map $\nu : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{E}(\pi(C))$ from (4.1) has the form $\nu = N_{\pi(C)} \circ \pi \circ \phi$. Since $\pi \circ \phi|_{\mathcal{P}(U)} : \mathcal{P}(U) \to \mathcal{E}(\pi(C))$ is a lattice isomorphism, by (3.7) and (2.4), the isomorphism (2.7) shows that $\nu|_{\mathcal{P}(U)} : \mathcal{P}(U) \to \mathcal{N}(\pi(C))$ is an antitone lattice isomorphism.

Lemma 4.2 shows that for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ the normal cone $\nu(p)$ is the sum $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + K(p)$, which is direct if $p \neq 0$. The assumption that $\pi(C)$ is no singleton shows that $p = 0$ is no coatom of $\mathcal{P}(U)$. Consistently, $K(0) = A^+ \cap U$ is no ray because $\dim(U) \geqslant 2$ and since $\mathbb{1}$ is an interior point of A^+. For all non-zero $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$, the normal cone $\nu(p)$ is a direct sum $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + K(p)$. Since the codimension of $\pi(C)$ in U is one, the claim follows from Theorem 3.2 of [42]. □

The lattice $\mathcal{P}(U)$ is coatomistic because $\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))$ is coatomistic [39]. Equivalently, the lattice of normal cones $\mathcal{N}(\pi(C))$ is atomistic. If $K(p)$ is no ray, then $\dim(K(p))$ controls the number of coatoms that can be used to represent $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $U \subset A$ be a linear subspace with $\mathbb{1} \in U$ and let $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)\backslash\{0, \mathbb{1}\}$. There are $d = dim(K(p))$ coatoms $q_1, \ldots, q_d$ of $\mathcal{P}(U)$ whose infimum is p, and such that the rays $K(q_1), \ldots, K(q_d)$ are spanned by linearly independent vectors.*

Proof: The proof is based, similarly to Theorem 4.5, on lattice isomorphisms and on the formula $\nu(p) = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + K(p)$, $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, for normal cones of $\pi(C)$. Corollary 2.3 of [42] proves the claim. □

Needless to say that the exposed face $\pi(\phi(p))$ of $\pi(C)$ is the intersection of the coatoms $\pi(\phi(q_1)), \ldots, \pi(\phi(q_d))$ of $\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))$, under the assumptions of Theorem 4.6. Of course, $\pi(\phi(p))$ may be the intersection of less or more than d coatoms. Examples are discussed in Section 2 of [42].

5. k-local Hamiltonians and reduced density matrices

In this section we define composite systems and their k-local Hamiltonians, which are sums of local operators acting on subsystems of at most k units. We recall that the projection of the state space onto the space of k-local Hamiltonians is linearly isomorphic to the set of k-party marginals, which are known in quantum mechanics as *reduced density matrices* [11].

We consider a composite system of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ units, labeled by $[N] := \{1, \ldots, N\}$. For each unit $i \in [N]$ we choose a Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and an algebra $\mathcal{A}_i$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ which contains the n_i-by-n_i identity matrix. For any subset $\nu \subset [N]$ the subsystem with units in ν has Hilbert space $\bigotimes_{i\in\nu} \mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ and algebra $\mathcal{A}_\nu := \bigotimes_{i\in\nu} \mathcal{A}_i$, whose identity we denote by $\mathbb{1}_\nu$. The full system has Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^n$ for

$$n = n_1 \times \cdots \times n_N$$

and algebra

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_{[N]} = \bigotimes_{i\in[N]} \mathcal{A}_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{(k)} \subset \mathcal{A}$ denote the complex subspace of matrices which can be written as a sum of terms acting at most on k units. In other words, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{(k)}$ if there exist matrices $a(\nu) \in \mathcal{A}_\nu$ for $|\nu| = k$ such that

$$a = \sum_{|\nu|=k} a(\nu) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\overline{\nu}}. \tag{5.1}$$

A hermitian matrix in $\mathcal{A}_{(k)}$ is called *k-local Hamiltonian*. We denote the set of k-local Hamiltonians by $A_{(k)}$.

Sections 2 and 3 take the following form for k-local Hamiltonians. Let

$$\pi = \pi_{(k)} : A \to A$$

denote the orthogonal projection onto $A_{(k)}$ and $C = C_{\mathcal{A}}$ the state space of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, defined in (3.2). The lattice $\mathcal{P}(A_{(k)})$ of ground space projections of $A_{(k)}$ is isomorphic to the lattice $\mathcal{E}(\pi(C))$ of exposed faces of $\pi(C)$, as we pointed out in the paragraph of equation (3.7).

In order to count dimensions, we recall that $\pi(C)$ is linearly isomorphic to the set of k-party reduced density matrices. Consider for $\nu \subset [N]$ the embedding $\mathcal{A}_\nu \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $a \mapsto a \otimes \mathbb{1}_{[N]\backslash\nu}$. The *partial trace* over the subsystems $\overline{\nu} = [N]\backslash\nu$ is the linear map

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{\nu}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}_\nu$$

which is the adjoint of $\mathcal{A}_\nu \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product. This means that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the matrix $\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{\nu}}(a) \in \mathcal{A}_\nu$ is characterized by

$$\langle a_\nu, b\rangle = \langle a, b \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\overline{\nu}}\rangle, \qquad b \in \mathcal{A}_\nu.$$

If $\rho \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a state on $\mathcal{A}$ then its partial trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{\nu}}(\rho)$ is a state on $\mathcal{A}_\nu$, called *ν-marginal* of ρ, or *marginal* of ρ on subsystem ν. We will consider marginals on subsystems composed of the same numbers of units. For $k = 1, \ldots, n$ let

$$\mathrm{tr}_{(k)} : \mathcal{A} \to \times_{|\nu|=k} \mathcal{A}_\nu, \quad a \mapsto (\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{\nu}}(a))_{|\nu|=k} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\nu \subset [N]$ is understood. We define the set of *k-party marginals* or *k-party reduced density matrices*

$$\mathcal{D}_{(k)} := \{\mathrm{tr}_{(k)}(\rho) \mid \rho \in C_{\mathcal{A}}\}. \tag{5.3}$$

The restricted linear map

$$\mathrm{tr}_{(k)}|_{\pi(C_{\mathcal{A}})} : \pi(C_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathcal{D}_{(k)} \tag{5.4}$$

is a bijection because $\mathrm{tr}_{(k)} = \mathrm{tr}_{(k)} \circ \pi_{(k)}$ and since $\mathrm{tr}_{(k)}$ restricted to $A_{(k)}$ is injective.

That the marginals have overlap for $k \geqslant 2$ can be seen from the dimensions. In the simplest case of $n_1 = \cdots = n_N$ we have, in the quantum case,

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}_{(k)}) = \dim(\pi(C_{\mathcal{A}})) = \dim(A_{(k)}) - 1 = \textstyle\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \binom{N}{\ell} (n_1^2 - 1)^{\ell} \tag{5.5}$$

by Proposition 1 of [44]. Denoting the space of hermitian matrices in $\mathcal{A}_\nu$ by A_ν, $\nu \subset [N]$, the cartesian product of marginal state spaces $\times_{|\nu|=k} C_{\mathcal{A}_\nu}$ has dimension

$$\textstyle\sum_{|\nu|=k} (\dim(A_\nu) - 1) = \binom{N}{k} (n_1^{2k} - 1). \tag{5.6}$$

Equality between (5.5) and (5.6) holds for $k = 1$. For $k = 2$ and and three qubits, that is $N = 3$ and $n_1 = 2$, we have $\dim(\mathcal{D}_{(2)}) = 36$, while (5.6) evaluates to 45. Notice that the three-qubit state space has dimension $\dim(C_{\mathcal{A}}) = 63$.

In the classical case, the set of reduced density matrices $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$ is a polytope, namely the convex hull of the columns of a matrix with coefficients in $\{0, 1\}$, which represents the partial traces (5.2). The algebra $\mathcal{A}_i$ is the function space on a finite set X_i of cardinality n_i, that is $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathbb{C}^{X_i}$, $i \in [N]$. The tensor product algebra $\mathcal{A}_\nu$ is the function space $\mathcal{A}_\nu = \mathbb{C}^{X_\nu}$ on the cartesian product

$$X_\nu := \textstyle\times_{i \in \nu} X_i, \qquad \nu \subset [N].$$

In particular, $X := X_{[N]}$ has cardinality $n = n_1 \times \cdots \times n_N$. For any finite set Y consider the basis $(\delta_y)_{y \in Y}$ of $\mathbb{C}^Y$ defined by

$$\delta_y(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \neq y \\ 1 & \text{if } z = y \end{cases}, \qquad y, z \in Y.$$

We denote a sequence $x \in X$ by $x = x(i)_{i \in [N]}$ where $x(i) \in X_i$, $i \in [N]$, and the truncation of x to $\nu \subset [N]$ by $x_\nu \in X_\nu$, that is $x_\nu(i) = x(i)$, $i \in \nu$. The matrix of (5.2) with respect to the bases $(\delta_x)_{x \in X}$ and $[(\delta_{x^\nu})_{|\nu|=k}]_{|\mu|=k, x^\mu \in X_\mu}$ is easily seen to be

$$(\mathrm{tr}_{(k)})_{(\nu,y),x} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \neq x_\nu \\ 1 & \text{if } y = x_\nu \end{cases}, \qquad x \in X, |\nu| = k, y \in X_\nu. \tag{5.7}$$

Observe that the probability simplex $\Delta_X = C_{\mathcal{A}}$, defined in (3.3), is the convex hull of the basis vectors $(\delta_x)_{x \in X}$. Thus the set of k-party marginals $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$ is the convex hull of the columns of the matrix (5.7). The convex set $\mathcal{D}_{(k)}$ is a polytope, which is studied in algebraic statistics [16, 18] and known as the *marginal polytope* [21].

The marginal polytope, in the case of equally sized units $n_1 = \cdots = n_N$, has dimension

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}_{(k)}) = \dim(\pi(\Delta_X)) = \dim(A_{(k)}) - 1 = \textstyle\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \binom{N}{\ell} (n_1 - 1)^{\ell} \tag{5.8}$$

by Proposition 1 of [44], compare to the quantum case (5.5). In Section 6 we study two-local three-bit Hamiltonians ($N = 3$ and $n_1 = k = 2$) where $\dim(\mathcal{D}_{(2)}) = 6$, while the probability simplex of three bits has dimension $\dim(\Delta_X) = 7$.

6. Ground spaces of 2-local 3-bit Hamiltonians

The results of this article allow us to compute ground space projection lattices $\mathcal{P}(A_{(k)})$ of k-local Hamiltonians, if we are able to find the maximal projections in the fibers of rays under the map $p \to K(p)$, defined for $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. This challenging task is solved here for the simplest commutative example $A_{(2)}$ of two-local three-bit Hamiltonians.



FIGURE 2. Each card represents one of the 16 coatoms of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ for three bits. Cells represent three-bit configurations, the six configurations of each coatom are darkened.

Consider the configuration space $X = \{0,1\} \times \{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$ of three bits with algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^X$, and space of hermitian matrices $A = \mathbb{R}^X$. The state space is the seven-dimensional probability simplex $C_{\mathcal{A}} = \Delta_X$, discussed at the end of Section 5. The space of 2-local Hamiltonians $A_{(2)}$ has codimension one in A, as we saw in (5.8). The orthogonal complement is spanned by

$$Z^{\otimes 3} = Z \otimes Z \otimes Z = \operatorname{diag}(+1,-1,-1,+1,-1,+1,+1,-1),$$

with $Z = \operatorname{diag}(1,-1)$, if the basis $(\delta_x)_{x\in X}$ is lexicographically ordered. Notice that for $x = (x_1,x_2,x_3) \in X$ we have

$$Z^{\otimes 3}(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x_1+x_2+x_3 \text{ is even,} \\ -1 & \text{if } x_1+x_2+x_3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

Let $\pi : A \to A$ denote the orthogonal projection onto $A_{(2)}$. Since $Z^{\otimes 3} \perp \mathbb{1}_{[3]}$, the polytope $\pi(\Delta_X)$ has dimension six. It is isometric to the marginal polytope $\mathcal{D}_{(2)}$ of 2-party marginals (5.4). The projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \cong 2^X$ is isomorphic to the power set of X, as we pointed out in the paragraph after (3.1). For $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ we have by (4.2)

$$L(p) = p'Ap' \cap A_{(2)} = (pAp + \mathbb{R}\cdot Z^{\otimes 3})^{\perp}, \tag{6.2}$$

and the cone $K(p) = p'A^+p' \cap A_{(2)}$ consists of the positive elements in $L(p)$.

The lattice $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ of ground space projections is coatomistic by Theorem 4.6. Its coatoms are in one-to-one correspondence with the coatoms of $\mathcal{E}(\pi(\Delta_X))$, which are the facets of the polytope $\pi(\Delta_X)$, as we pointed out at the end of Section 3. The facets of $\pi(\Delta_X)$ have dimension five. Since the kernel of π is one-dimensional, only an exposed face of Δ_X of dimension six or five can possibly be the pre-image of a coatom of $\mathcal{E}(\pi(\Delta_X))$. Likewise, only projections of $\mathcal{A}$ of rank seven or six can be coatoms of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$.

No subset $p \subset X$ of cardinality seven lies in $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$. Indeed, pAp and $Z^{\otimes 3}$ span A, so (6.2) shows $K(p) = L(p) = \{0\}$. Hence $K(p) = K(\mathbb{1}_{[3]})$ and Corollary 4.3 show $p \notin \mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$.

For every $p \subset X$ of cardinality six, the complementary projection $p' = \mathbb{1} - p$ has the form $p' = \{x,y\}$ for two distinct configurations $x, y \in X$. If $Z^{\otimes 3}(x) = Z^{\otimes 3}(y)$ then the orthogonal complement of $pAp + \mathbb{R}\cdot Z^{\otimes 3}$ is spanned by $\delta_x - \delta_y$. Then (6.2) shows $K(p) = L(p) = \{0\}$ and as before $p \notin \mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$. If $Z^{\otimes 3}(x) \neq Z^{\otimes 3}(y)$ then

$Z^{\otimes 3}(x) = -Z^{\otimes 3}(y)$ and the orthogonal complement of $pAp + \mathbb{R} \cdot Z^{\otimes 3}$ is spanned by $\delta_x + \delta_y$, so $K(p) = \{\lambda(\delta_x + \delta_y) \mid \lambda \geqslant 0\}$ is a ray. Theorem 4.5 shows that p is a coatom of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$. All elements of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ different from $\mathbb{1}_{[3]}$ are intersections of coatoms. The coatoms of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ are depicted in Figure 2.

The lattice $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ is readily understood by representing it on the bipartite graph $K_{4,4}$. We identify the configuration space X with the vertex set of $K_{4,4}$. An edge of $K_{4,4}$ is a two-element subset of X having one element in

$$V_0 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X \mid x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \text{ is even}\}$$

and the other in

$$V_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X \mid x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \text{ is odd}\}.$$

As we have seen, $p \subset X$ is a coatom of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ if and only if $p' = \{x, y\}$ for $x, y \in X$ such that $Z^{\otimes 3}(x) = -Z^{\otimes 3}(y)$. By (6.1) this means that $\{x, y\}$ is an edge of $K_{4,4}$. Coatoms of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ correspond to the 16 edges of $K_{4,4}$.

It may be intuitive to work with the dual lattice

$$\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})^* = \{p' \mid p \in \mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})\},$$

ordered by inclusion. As $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ is coatomistic, $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})^*$ is atomistic. A subset $p \subset X$ is an atom of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})^*$ if and only if p is an edge of $K_{4,4}$. The non-zero elements of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})^*$ are unions of edges of $K_{4,4}$.

All subsets of X of cardinality at most three[6] belong to $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$. Dually, this means that all subsets of X of cardinality at least five belong to $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})^*$. Indeed, subsets of cardinality at least five contain necessarily one configuration from V_0 and one from V_1. So they can be written as unions of edges of $K_{4,4}$. Similarly, only two of the 70 subsets of X of cardinality four are missing in $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})^*$, namely V_0 and V_1. Elements of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})$ of cardinality five correspond to elements of cardinality three of $\mathcal{P}(A_{(2)})^*$. The latter are in one-to-one correspondence with the 48 pairs of distinct but overlapping edges of $K_{4,4}$.

References

[1] M. Aigner (1997) *Combinatorial Theory*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag

[2] E. M. Alfsen and F. W. Shultz (2001) *State Spaces of Operator Algebras: Basic Theory, Orientations, and C*-Products*, Boston: Birkhäuser

[3] S.-I. Amari (2001) *Information geometry on hierarchy of probability distributions*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **47** 1701–1711

[4] N. Ay, E. Olbrich, N. Bertschinger, and J. Jost (2011) *A geometric approach to complexity*, Chaos **21** 037103

[5] N. Ay and A. Knauf (2006) *Maximizing multi-information*, Kybernetika **42** 517–538

[6] G. P. Barker (1978) *Faces and duality in convex cones*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **6** 161–169

[7] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen (1978) *Information and Exponential Families in Statistical Theory*, Chichester: Wiley

[8] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski (2006) *Geometry of Quantum States*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge

[9] G. Birkhoff (1967) *Lattice Theory*, 3rd ed., Providence, R.I.: AMS

[10] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson (1987) *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 1*, 2nd edition, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag

[11] J. Chen, Z. Ji, D. Kribs, Z. Wei, and B. Zeng (2012) *Ground-state spaces of frustration-free Hamiltonians*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **53** 102201

[6] This observation is a special case of Theorem 14 of [21].

[12] J. Chen, Z. Ji, C.-K. Li, Y.-T. Poon, Y. Shen, N. Yu, B. Zeng, and D. Zhou (2015) *Discontinuity of maximum entropy inference and quantum phase transitions*, New Journal of Physics **17** 083019

[13] J. Chen, Z. Ji, M. B. Ruskai, B. Zeng, and D.-L. Zhou (2012) *Comment on some results of Erdahl and the convex structure of reduced density matrices*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **53** 072203

[14] A. Coleman (1963) *Structure of Fermion density matrices*, Reviews of Modern Physics **35** 668–686

[15] A. S. Darmawan and S. D. Bartlett (2014) *Graph states as ground states of two-body frustration-free Hamiltonians*, New Journal of Physics **16** 073013

[16] M. Develin and S. Sullivant (2003) *Markov bases of binary graph models*, Annals of Combinatorics **7** 441–466

[17] R. M. Erdahl (1972) *The convex structure of the set of N-representable reduced 2-matrices*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **13** 1608–1621

[18] D. Geiger, C. Meek, and B. Sturmfels (2006) *On the toric algebra of graphical models*, The Annals of Statistics **34** 1463–1492

[19] A. S. Holevo (2012) *Quantum Systems, Channels, Information: A Mathematical Introduction*, Berlin: De Gruyter

[20] Z. Ji, Z. Wei, and B. Zeng (2011) *Complete characterization of the ground-space structure of two-body frustration-free Hamiltonians for qubits*, Physical Review A **84** 042338

[21] T. Kahle (2010) *Neighborliness of marginal polytopes*, Contributions to Algebra and Geometry **51** 45–56

[22] N. Linden, S. Popescu, and W. Wootters (2002) *Almost every pure state of three qubits is completely determined by its two-particle reduced density matrices*, Physical Review Letters **89** 207901

[23] R. Loewy and B.-S. Tam (1986) *Complementation in the face lattice of a proper cone*, Linear Algebra Appl **79** 195–207

[24] D. A. Mazziotti (2011) *Structure of Fermionic density matrices: Complete N-representability conditions*, Physical Review Letters **108** 263002

[25] V. Müller (2010) *The joint essential numerical range, compact perturbations, and the Olsen problem*, Studia Mathematica **197** 275–290

[26] J. von Neumann (1955) *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Princeton: Princeton University Press

[27] S. Niekamp, T. Galla, M. Kleinmann, and O. Gühne (2013) *Computing complexity measures for quantum states based on exponential families*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **46** 125301

[28] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang (2010) *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, 10th Anniversary Edition, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

[29] S. A. Ocko, X. Chen, B. Zeng, B. Yoshida, Z. Ji, M. B. Ruskai, and I. L. Chuang (2011) *Quantum codes give counterexamples to the unique preimage conjecture of the N-representability problem*, Phys Rev Lett **106** 110501

[30] V. I. Paulsen (2002) *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

[31] M. Ramana and A. J. Goldman (1995) *Some geometric results in semidefinite programming*, J Global Optim **7** 33–50

[32] J. Rauh (2011) *Finding the maximizers of the information divergence from an exponential family*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **57** 3236–3247

[33] R. T. Rockafellar (1970) *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press: Princeton

[34] L. Rodman, I. M. Spitkovsky, A. Szkoła, and S. Weis (2016) *Continuity of the maximum-entropy inference: Convex geometry and numerical ranges approach*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **57** 015204

[35] R. Schneider (2014) *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Second Expanded Edition, New York: Cambridge University Press

[36] V. S. Varadarajan (2007) *Geometry of Quantum Theory*, 2nd ed., New York: Springer

[37] S. Weis (2010) *Exponential Families with Incompatible Statistics and Their Entropy Distance*, PhD thesis, Universität Erlangen, http://opus4.kobv.de/opus4-fau/frontdoor/index/index/docId/1046
[38] S. Weis (2011) *Quantum convex support*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **435** 3168–3188
[39] S. Weis (2012) *A note on touching cones and faces*, Journal of Convex Analysis **19** 323–353
[40] S. Weis (2012) *Duality of non-exposed faces*, Journal of Convex Analysis **19** 815–835
[41] S. Weis (2014) *Continuity of the maximum-entropy inference*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **330** 1263–1292
[42] S. Weis (2017) *Operator systems and convex sets with many normal cones*, Journal of Convex Analysis **24**, arXiv:1606.03792 [math.MG]
[43] S. Weis and A. Knauf (2012) *Entropy distance: New quantum phenomena*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **53** 102206
[44] S. Weis, A. Knauf, N. Ay, and M.-J. Zhao (2015) *Maximizing the divergence from a hierarchical model of quantum states*, Open Systems & Information Dynamics **22** 1550006
[45] B. Zeng, X. Chen, D.-L. Zhou, and X.-G. Wen (2015) *Quantum Information Meets Quantum Matter—From Quantum Entanglement to Topological Phase in Many-Body Systems*, arXiv:1508.02595 [cond-mat.str-el]
[46] D. Zhou (2008) *Irreducible multiparty correlations in quantum states without maximal rank*, Physical Review Letters **101** 180505
[47] G. M. Ziegler (1995) *Lectures on Polytopes*, New York: Springer-Verlag

Stephan Weis
e-mail: maths@stephan-weis.info

Centre for Quantum Information and Communication
Université libre de Bruxelles
50 av. F.D. Roosevelt
1050 Bruxelles, Belgium